

ORIGINAL



0000075398

GLIEGE LAW OFFICES, PLLC  
P.O. Box 1388  
Flagstaff, AZ 86002-1388  
(928) 226-8333

John G. Gliege (#003644)  
Stephanie J. Gliege (#022465)  
Attorneys for the Complainants

BEFORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

RAYMOND R. PUGEL AND JULIE B.  
PUGEL, husband and wife as trustees of THE  
RAYMOND R. PUGEL and JULIE B. PUGEL  
FAMILY TRUST,  
and  
ROBERT RANDALL and SALLY RANDALL,  
husband and wife  
Complainants,  
v.  
PINE WATER COMPANY, an Arizona  
Corporation  
Respondent..

ASSET TRUST MANAGEMENT, CORP.  
Complainants,  
v.  
PINE WATER COMPANY, an Arizona  
Corporation  
Respondent.

JAMES HILL and SIOUX HILL, husband and  
wife and as trustees of THE HILL FAMILY  
TRUST,  
Complainants,  
v.  
PINE WATER COMPANY, an Arizona  
Corporation  
Respondent.

RECEIVED

2007 JUL 23 A 9:07

AZ CORP COMMISSION  
DOCKET CONTROL

DOCKET NO. W-03512A-06-0407

Rejoinder Testimony of Mike Ploughe

W-03512A-07-0019

DOCKET NO. W-03512A-06 -0613

03512  
DOCKET NO. W-03512A-07-0100

Arizona Corporation Commission  
DOCKETED

JUL 23 2007

DOCKETED BY	nr
-------------	----

1 Once again, state your name: **Mike Ploughe**

2  
3 Did you read the Surrebuttal Testimony filed by Pine Water Company and the Testimony filed by the  
4 Arizona Corporation Commission Staff? **YES**

5  
6 Do you have any comments on Mr. Noel's testimony?

7 **For clarification regarding the question on Page 4, Line 23 and Mr. Noel's response on Page 5,**  
8 **Line 2; It is stated the demand would be roughly half of the projected long-term pumping rate of**  
9 **the well. Please note that within the context of the discussion "one half" is referring to the long-**  
10 **term pumping rate of the SH3 well not the Milk Ranch. However the Milk Ranch well is the**  
11 **subject of the question on Page 4, Line 23. One half of the long-term pumping rate of the Milk**  
12 **Ranch well would be 75gpm.**

13  
14 **For clarification regarding Mr. Noels discussions on Pages 2-3 concerning yield estimates; Please**  
15 **note that the subject aquifer yield values I had presented in previous testimony are indeed**  
16 **estimates and are intended to provide a range of reasonable values. Given that two years have**  
17 **passed since the 2005 Payson status report and that more information has since been developed**  
18 **regarding the existence of the deep regional aquifer system, I am more confident in a higher yield**  
19 **value from the deeper aquifer than that presented by Mr. Noel (1,125 ac-ft/yr). Nonetheless, I**  
20 **agree with Mr. Noel in the use of 1,125 as a conservative lower limit to the deep aquifer system**  
21 **yield. This is a conservative approach and is justifiable until more data becomes available.**

22  
23 Does that conclude your testimony? **YES**

1 Original and 17 copies mailed/delivered  
2 This 14<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2007 to:

3 Arizona Corporation Commission  
4 Attn: Docket Control  
5 1200 W. Washington  
6 Phoenix, AZ 85007

7 Copies of the foregoing mailed/delivered  
8 This 14<sup>th</sup> day of May, 2007 to:

9 Kevin O. Torrey  
10 Attorney, Legal Division  
11 Arizona Corporation Commission  
12 1200 W. Washington Street  
13 Phoenix, AZ 85007  
14 [ktorrey@azcc.gov](mailto:ktorrey@azcc.gov)

15 Christopher Kempley, Chief Counsel  
16 Legal Division  
17 Arizona Corporation Commission  
18 1200 W. Washington Street  
19 Phoenix, AZ 85007

20 Ernest G. Johnson, Director  
21 Utilities Division  
22 Arizona Corporation Commission  
23 1200 W. Washington Street  
24 Phoenix, AZ 85007

25 Jay L. Shapiro  
26 Fennemore Craig  
27 3003 North Central Ave. Ste 2600  
28 Phoenix, AZ 85012-2913  
29 [JSHAPIRO@fclaw.com](mailto:JSHAPIRO@fclaw.com)

David W. Davis, ESQ.  
Turley, Swan & Childers, P.C.  
3101 N. Central, Suite 1300  
Phoenix, AZ 85012-2643  
[ddavis@tsc-law.com](mailto:ddavis@tsc-law.com)

Robert M. Cassaro  
PO Box 1522  
Pine, AZ 85544

William F. Haney  
3018 E. Mallory St.  
Mesa, AZ 85213

Barbara Hall  
PO Box 2198  
Pine, AZ 85544